

Minutes

CSA Community Advisory Group To Western Forest Products January 11, 2012 Western Forest Products Boardroom

Attendance: refer to attached sheet

6:00 pm: Meeting called to order
Quorum noted

Safety Review

Facilitator noted fire exits and first aid attendants in case of emergency. Meeting place in case of emergency was noted.

Code of Conduct

Code of Conduct for Community Advisory Group was reviewed.

Welcome and Introductions

Chair welcomed Mark Anderson, District Manager, Ministry of Forests, Lands, and Natural Resource Operations.

Review and Acceptance of Agenda

Agenda was accepted with the following addition: Discuss ideas for topics and speaker for the next Island Timberlands meeting.

Correspondence

Copies of recent correspondence was provided and reviewed

- Emails inviting First Nations to meetings
- Letter to PRPAWS
- Thank you to Dave Formosa
- Thank you to Stewart Alsgard
- Thank you to Ken Mackenzie
- Two emails regarding Goat Main

Review and Acceptance of Minutes

Minutes to be reviewed and accepted by email when they are available.

Operational Information Map Review

Current Activities

Harvesting

NA-912, NA-920, NA-930, NA-935, ST-029, ST-032, ST-148, ST-232, ST-265, TM-112, TM-223, UL-810, UL-811, WL-907, WL-911

Road Construction

BT-649, BT-664, CH-400, LL-017, ST-235, ST-255, UL-805, UL-812

Engineering

ST-040, TM-186, WL-040

What's New on the Map

New Blocks

PL-003

New Roads

PL-003

Cutting Permit Approved Areas

None

There are no new blocks or roads along the SCT.

Logging Complete

ST-022, ST-035, UL-836

Road Construction Complete

ST-029, ST-255

Engineered Blocks

LL-026, LL-029, BT-664

Engineered Roads

LL-026, LL-029, BT-664

Question: Who has the obligation for the west side of Haslam Lake now?

Stuart said that Western Forest Products used to have forest licence in the Haslam lake area. The guys over in Campbell River had been managing that area because that is where Western managed that area from.

Question: I think there is one spot that is not quite free to grow. Nothing ever really came up there so there is mostly alder.

Stuart said he would check with the Campbell River people.

Comment: I saw a couple of guys from Adept spacing Alder in there.

Adept has been doing some work for Northwest Hardwoods recently.

Comment: I know it was originally logged by Northwest Hardwoods.

Then Northwest Hardwoods will be managing it now.

Comment: There is some kind of fungus on the alder that thinning may help reduce.

Minutes

Stuart said he received an email about the fungus. It doesn't actually kill the tree. It just creates lesions and spacing the trees seems to help slow the spread.

Question: Will there be any more blockages on the main lines due to logging or road building? Like Windsor block?

The grapple yarder was set up on there road there.

Question: Is it open after hours and on the weekend?

They are pretty good at keeping it open on the weekends.

Question: Anywhere else?

At TM-223 they have three or four more days of hand falling left and they will be processing at roadside. There will be signs up. Other than that they are finished near the main line. On the East side there is just the Lois Lake blasting.

Question: If someone wanted to go fishing should they check with you?

Yes. The road hotline has been getting updated every week.

BC Forest Professional

Stuart told the group that he receives a copy of the BC Forest Professional, which is his Forestry Associations publication, every couple of months. The topic of the November/December issue was Forest Certification and Jane was interviewed by editor of the magazine. There is nice article about the Stillwater advisory and all of the good work it does.

Trails Strategy Update

A CAG member got together in 2005 with Eagle Walz to discuss trails and how the hikers and recreational motorized vehicle groups could work together. Over time other individuals from other groups got involved and about two years ago they started getting some mapping done on trails. In late 2010 they started getting more government people involved to come up with a regional trail strategy plan for this area. They wanted to come up with something that they could use to sell the area on a website to potential tourists. They wanted to do it in a legal form so that they could access more funding for trail groups. Compared to other areas this area is very privileged to have the access that it has. To maintain this access more user groups need to be involved. A main aspect is trail classification. Trails can be classified by hazard or by design and engineering standards. Design by hazard will not be an issue to overcome, but design by usage is hard to do because government legislation and documentation has very little information on trail specs. Sea to Sky has classification by design for usage but it is very narrow as it excludes motorized recreation and equestrian. The best model they have for design is one that was done by the Alberta government for Alberta. They still need input from industry. Basically, that is where they are at.

Question: Is the Regional Board involved?

Minutes

Yes. Nancy Schmeister is involved and the Ministry of Tourism and Culture is also involved. If you look at other regions in BC you'll see that we have a gold mine here.

Comment: It has almost been a lock set type of process, hasn't it? There was money available to GPS all of the trails and nobody was concerned about how the trails got there. All of the trails show up on the maps and now people wonder what their names are. You can't name them without classifying them, so what are they? Are they motorized, equestrian, or what? It is just taking care of itself as we go along.

The amazing thing is the cooperative attitude of all of the groups involved. There are small groups that need to be encouraged, but overall everybody is onboard.

Question: Aren't some of the trails made by people that think it would be great to have a trail somewhere so they cut it?

Yes, certainly that happens. What we are trying to do is get some rationalization so that when people go to log an area they would know whether a trail is something that we want to keep or if we don't care about it. Getting these trails mapped out and named helps out the industries and the users. The users cannot maintain everything and there is no hope of protecting every quad trail out there but there are key trails that we want to protect. If we can come up with a set standard for trails for recreation and come up with a standard of how they are going to be built and maintained then we are way ahead of the game. Maybe we can educate people that you can't just go out anywhere and build trails.

Comment: I found out today that the biggest reason that Nanaimo lost the BC Bike Race was not Island Timberlands, but the mountain biker community because they would build trails and then they would feel a sense of entitlement just because the trail was there. They felt they owned the trail and how dare anyone come and log the area. We've avoided that and we are way ahead of some communities.

This community has an unbelievable amount that is being volunteered and if we can get it all focused in the right direction, just think what we could get done.

Question: Where is the Ministry of Forests on trails? Do you get involved?

Mark Anderson said they don't get involved. Protection refers to parks. He said they are all about integrated use and finding a way to get the most people enjoying the area.

Question: Is it not your responsibility to deal with people that build trails through areas such as riparian areas?

If someone was doing something illegal it would go through Compliance and Enforcement (C&E).

Question: If someone wanted to build a trail through a sensitive area what would your responsibility be, Mark?

Our CAG speaker said that the application goes through Frank Ullman at the Ministry.

Mark said based on the scenario suggested it wouldn't get approved.

Minutes

The CAG speaker said that Frank Ullman said that it would make it easier for him to get approval if blocks of 10 or 15 trails are looked at as a whole and are all signed and sealed by all user groups instead of approving one trail at a time from one group or another. Not all trails can be approved with section 56, but section 57 gives legal authority for us to be on that trail.

Question: Are the licensees involved in the approval process?

The CAG member said they are for the one he puts in.

Question: Could you please explain what section 56 and 57 are?

Section 57 gives a group or individual authority to go out and build and maintain a trail and the group or individual holds the liability. Section 56 is the same, but the government assumes responsibility for the trail. Section 56s are not easy to get.

Question: Is it your intention with this trail strategy to create an association of all of the users?

We have one now, we just need to expand on it. It is the Outdoor Recreational User Board.

Question: So, horseback riders and hikers also belong to this association?

Yes.

Comment: We have a common problem of trying to get a bridge across the bottom of Lang Creek that we still haven't been able to resolve.

We are trying to resolve it. We don't have the funding. Our biggest problem is funding. I can get funding through my club if I have a section 57 on a trail. If I ask for funding from my club the first thing they will ask me is if I legal authority to be on the trail. If I don't then I won't get funding.

Field Walks along SCT ST-235 and LL029

Stuart brought two maps of blocks that logging had just been completed. The Sunshine Coast Trail has no requirement to retain a buffer of trees. On the map Stuart showed the group the outcome of what he and Eagle Walz planned for the blocks. Stuart said that as a planner you have to balance many factors to plan a block. The trail was partially rerouted to a better spot by PRPAWS as they preferred this option to crossing through a harvested area. Stuart said that he and Eagle were both happy with the outcome.

Guest Speaker: Mark Anderson, RPF – District Manager, Ministry of Forests, Lands, and Natural Resource Operations – Topic: Sunshine Coast District Update

Mark said that he became the District Manager of the Sunshine Coast area. He thanked the members of CAG for their efforts in our community. Mark and his family enjoy many of the benefits of our local forest including jobs, environment and outdoor recreation. Whether people are involved in a watchdog capacity or as an advocate for specific activities, the work of the CAG is making a difference to our community and it is appreciated.

In late 2010 the provincial government created the Ministry of Forests, Lands, and Natural Resource Operations (MoFLNRO). This realignment intended to capture the benefits of joining resource agencies towards efficiencies. It made no sense to have several different government officials making trips to

Minutes

Toba Inlet at different times for different reasons. Government needed them to find different ways of collaborating and prioritizing in their business to save money. Locally, the office on Duncan has morphed into the Sunshine Coast District (no longer Forest District). This is to reflect the change to do business across the land. They continue to provide their traditional forestry functions such as determining allowable cuts, planning and permitting activities. In addition many of their staff have been trained in different activities to support all of governments responsibilities on the landscape including and tenuring, mineral exploration and permitting, and environmental and inspections to name a few.

As we've all experienced in recent difficult years their staff compliment was reduced approximately 30% primarily through attrition associated with aging staff. Currently at the Duncan street office they have approximately 35 technical professional and administrative staff responsible for various functions including selling timber through the BC Timber Sales program, engineering roads and bridges, inspecting for revenue risks and environmental standards in their Compliance and Enforcement group, planning and permitting and First Nations consultations in their tenures department. In addition they monitor forest health issues and do ongoing evaluations of their district development activities through their forest and range evaluation program. They are also a front counter BC office providing access to resource permitting on crown land including commercial development, mining, water authorization, and environmental permits.

The Sunshine Coast District boundaries go from Howe Sound in the south to Bute Inlet in the north. The district Annual Allowable Cut is approximately 1.1 million cubic metres not including TFLs such as WFP's TFL39. The good news is our trees are growing fast and our forest management in the Sunshine Coast is excellent. Mark said that he has worked in many parts of BC and he travels a lot to parts of the lower coast and despite their diverging interests at times their management of the forests around Powell River is second to none. Western Forest Products and their contractors have stepped up and delivered quality forest management on Tree Farm License 39. This group can be proud of this and continue to work together to improve. Following a rough downturn the forest sector is picking up. Last year in Powell River the logging activity provided an important boost to our local economy. He said that the road and recreational infrastructure is getting better each year thanks to our local resource management and community advocates. Community Forests in Powell River and Sliammon are busy. The Duncan office is currently hiring part time positions to help meet their new challenges mentioned earlier which is a good sign.

Question: Could you clarify 35 staff' – does that mean in the Powell River office or for the whole district?

In the Powell River office.

Question: Is there no other office on the Sunshine Coast?

No, there is no other office. They have a couple of people working out of their homes down in the Sechelt area.

Question: Does it include the protection program?

It does not include the protection program at the airport.

Minutes

Question: We deal with Frank Ullman in Campbell River regarding trails. There was at one time talk of hiring someone in Powell River to do that job. Is that still in the plans?

I don't know the decision. I'm an advocate of having the position here, but I don't know the outcome. I do know that there was a debate.

Comment: It would be nice to have somebody local as it feels like we come second when you have to deal with somebody that doesn't live here.

Question: You said that the AAC is 1.1 million excluding WFP's TFL. How is that allocated and how much of that cut are you getting out?

Interfor and small business are probably the next biggest segment in licensees in the forest district. Interfor is probably 500,000m³ small business is probably 250,000m³ and then numerous other licensees.

Question: What has that cut been over the last few years?

In the Sunshine Coast District it has been relatively close to that. We've had off years, but generally speaking we have a wonderful breadbasket. There are none better than the Powell River and Sechelt areas. Bute Inlet is a little tougher to achieve cuts. There is very difficult to access wood up in Bute Inlet. Hard to get at and expensive.

Question: Could you speak to us about the resource road act?

The Resource Road Act is government's rationalization of a really complicated network of roads that are being used by a lot of different users. If there was an impetus to this initiative it is probably the north east part of BC where they do not have south coast forestry in pretty defined locations and not too many conflicts. In the north east corner of the province where you have oil and gas and forestry coming together there are huge primarily safety issues in the news. Government is looking for ways to deal with the issues. They've come up with legislation that is for everybody, but when they announce resource road act legislation that is imminent, the complexity of putting together the legislation and in particular the details in the regulations it is difficult to imagine that they will be able to achieve their targets and timeframes soon or even ever. There are lots of different ideas out there and right now they are just trying to find out what the red flags are. They are going around the province speaking to all of the interest groups trying to hear what all of the concerns are. It is very complex, heavily weighted to the north east and to safety.

Question: In the briefing document it talked about having all the roads built to the same standard. In our woodlot our roads are a standard for hauling 100 loads per year and Forest Service roads are built for 100,000 metres per year. I wonder if we will have to fall under the same standard.

It doesn't make much sense.

Question: Can you see much of a change?

Minutes

I doubt it.

Comment: There was a website with a link to a questionnaire that came out from the Ministry. IT took about 20 minutes to go through. It was very involved.

Question: Where are you acquiring the new technicians and foresters that are conversant not just in forestry anymore, but with environmental issues, watershed issues, conservation issues, wildlife and various other avenues such as mines and lands. People went in for engineering to work in mining. It is much broader. How does one become familiar with all of that enough to be effective let alone efficient?

Government is working hard on enhancing the opportunities for professional reliance. In other words, professionals need to making plans and delivering on them. We have a lot of examples of that in forestry and if you use the Powell River scenario, they are doing a lot less inspections on forestry because government has areas and priorities that they haven't been able to touch so they are using the skills of the inspectors to do different parts of health and safety. Even in the mainland we have had forestry inspectors on docks in Steveston during the big sockeye run to check for permits because the aquaculture people had no resources to do it. That run became so big that there was a lot of safety and health risks. Here in Powell River after too many inspections from WFP's point of view we had a pretty good record with forest management relative to the legislative requirements. As Mark mentioned, WFP's performance is good so it doesn't make a lot of sense to keep inspecting over and over when there are bigger fish to fry in other places.

Question: Is the pilot radio frequency program which has been around since 2008 ever going to expand across the province? I travel the backroads across the province and nothing is worse than coming across a logging truck coming towards you at 80 km per hour. We have no way of finding out what is out there even with a radio because the frequencies are all different. There hasn't been any activity on the radio frequency website since August.

Mark said that he didn't know the answer, but that he would get it.

ACTION: Mark Anderson to find out if the Ministry's radio pilot project is going to be extended to the rest of the province.

Question: How is BCTS doing? Are they very active?

Mark said they are very active. BCTS on the Sunshine Coast is a money maker. It always has and most likely always will be. Relative to the BCTS in the rest of the province it is one of the success stories. This area, Campbell River and Port Alberni are all a good story.

Question: Even down in Sechelt? It is pretty challenging down there.

Yes. It is a bit challenging.

Stuart said that at Chapman Creek where they were logging a few years ago some of the regenerating stands of trees adjacent to where they were working had been spaced 20-30 years ago and they are the most unbelievable looking stands of trees. Everything has been done right.

Minutes

Question: Does the Forest Service still retain that research forest on Mt. Elphinstone? I know there were a lot of long term studies in there.

There are a lot of studies, but I don't know if it is official research or if it is just ongoing. I do think they are still using those sites as research.

Question: What is the status of the research department of the Ministry?

It still exists. There are less funds available for it.

Question: Are there any research projects going on here in Powell River?

Not that I'm aware of.

Comment: We've got about eight growth and yield crops in the woodlots that are checked every couple of years.

Comment: There is one on Texada that might be still active or at least monitored.

I don't know. Occasionally they will phone us up and let us know they are checking their plots. We are not involved in it at all.

Question: Are you involved in BCTS?

They are in our office, but I am not involved.

Question: They were in Campbell River before.

Their management is in Campbell River and their doers are here.

Question: Is that new or have they always been here?

It has probably been six years since that happened. They basically pulled it away from the main part of the Forest Service in an effort to create a business like environment because they were going to establish market pricing.

Question: We would like them to come to the table like WFP does and let us know what they are up to. We'd like to know what is going to be logged around here over the next 5 – 10 years.

Mark said that all of that information is available and he can match people up with somebody in his office anytime that can give us that information.

Question: Does it include First Nations land?

We have that information as well.

Question: If I came into your office could give me a ballpark figure?

Minutes

Yes. Anytime I could connect you with somebody.

Comment: I'm curious about age classes and whether we are leaving the old age class system and how things will be woven together.

Comment: Blake showed bar graphs with age classes for the 250 years for everybody included in the forest district at the meeting he came to.

Yes, and Stuart has it for his TFL.

Comment: One of the things I brought up is our water in Haslam Lake because there are three or four different user groups in that area. We want to know who is managing it.

If you came in and asked to see the plans for this area we would have no problem showing them to you.

Question: Is it extrapolated down in years?

Comment: It would be broken down by ten year increments for the whole Timber Supply Area (TSA). For the Sliammon lands or BCTS it might take a day or so to get that information from the analysts in Nanaimo.

Comment: We have a lot of tenures that are bumping back to back. Each one is only concerned about their business and we would like to speak to all of the parties so that we can have a better understanding of what is happening in the woods. There are three tenures between Myrtle Point and Duck Lake.

Stuart said that all of those tenures are crown land so FRPA applies to all of them. So, what that means is there are legal objectives that apply across the landscape unit. When each Forest Stewardship Plan (FSP) is done before logging can take place each FSP has to be consistent with that legal object at the landscape level. When you add up the FSPs they achieve the overall objective.

Comment: If you have four licensees they can all log out to their four corners.

Not on crown land because the same rule applies across the land. Each licensee has to plan consistent with what the other licensees have planned across the tenure boundary.

Question: Who manages that?

Stuart said they manage it together as planners and professionals. Before WFP put a block in place they chat with others such as Community Forests so that they are consistent with the FRPA requirements along the boundary line.

Mark said that when they put their plans in he has to approve it and if they don't do it correctly C&E would come in.

Stuart said Island Timber is different because it is private land and WFP can log adjacent to Island Timber.

Minutes

Question: Mark, do you have nothing to do with Island Timber?

No, only if there were wildfire concerns. There is a wildfire act and if somebody was doing something in breach of the act the C&E people would be enforcing the act. There are other parts as well. Things like pests or other forest health concerns that would leave the private property in risk.

Comment: The pest one is interesting because we were a little testy with Island because they didn't have any plans for the bark beetle.

Comment: They said most of their trees were gone so it wouldn't be a problem.

Comment: Same with BC parks. There are so many players now it is hard to keep an eye on them all. Island Timberlands is the most difficult. Since others can log up to IT the public wouldn't know which logging was Island. The public call the Regional District to try and find out what is going on. People can do anything they like on private land and Regional Districts are not allowed to pass bylaws to control logging on private lands. Because of all of this we need to get back to the Haslam Lake Round table to get everybody in the room to talk about this watershed. We used to meet once a year when it was a plan and we need to get back at it.

Question: Speaking of watersheds, what is happening with Jeffered Creek?

I don't know the answer to that. BCTS is out there. I will have somebody from BCTS contact you

ACTION: Mark to have BCTS contact group and let them know if it is going to log Jeffered Creek.

Mark asked how the discussions go with Island Timberlands.

Comment: They are proud to let us know that they have had an audit, but will not tell us about it nor will their auditors. We would like them to be more transparent.

Comment: That is their Private Managed Forests Audits and you can't get those results. They do have SFI audits that are available.

Comment: This group suggested to IT that if they wanted to be successful in this community they needed to start communicating – and they did. They have improved.

Comment: Their attitude towards the community has much improved. They still don't give us a lot of information and in some cases rightly so as they are a private company. They still don't have a public access policy – they are against motorized recreation.

Comment: We are also concerned about the threat of real estate development as they have a dedicated real estate person.

Mark said that most of the questions that the group asked him he could find the answers for very quickly. One of the best things about Mark's job is that sharing information is an advantage for him

Minutes

which is quite different from the private sector. He said that if you want government information please come in to the office.

Question: Could you tell us about your new hires and the employment opportunities coming up?

There was virtually nothing until the last few months and now they are trying to fill a lands officer position, and they are trying to pick up some of the other functions on the land. They have skilled people issuing permits to forest companies but a lot of other people are looking for permits on the land and that is usually managed out of the lower mainland. In some cases that doesn't make sense so they are in the process of hiring a lands officer here. There are also two part time positions. One part time position would be working in stewardship which is about the evaluation of legislation to see whether it is working. They would be checking creeks, biodiversity, and viewscapes to find out if the laws are actually working.

Question: Does somebody check on compliance at local gravel pits?

Yes. It started two or three years ago. There are about four mines inspectors in the province and hundred of forestry inspectors so our office got together with the mines inspector from Cowichan and found out what they could do to help. The inspections are mostly about safety. The skills in Powell River were a pretty good fit for looking for safety hazards.

Comment: Was there anyone else you were looking at hiring? Types for part time?

Yes. One could be a biologist. There are a large number of biologists working in research down in the lower mainland on high profile issues and there is not near as much support up here.

Question: Do you have anyone retiring from the office this year?

Mark said he thinks there are three or four that could go in the next year or two. Our biggest risk in our office is succession.

Annual Seat Review

Stuart and the group conducted its annual seat review as required by the CSA Z09-08 standard. The consensus was that the group well represents a broad spectrum of the community and that it works well and productively together.

WFP Annual Safety Meeting

The chair and a couple of the CAG members attended the WFP annual safety review. Vice President Norm Facey and Ken Mackenzie did the safety review. The company MIR across all operations is 2.2 and contractors' MIR across all operations is 6.7. Stillwater has a lot of contractors. WFP employees and contractors' averaged a higher incident rate in 2011 than in 2010.

There were two breakout groups. There was one in Emergency Response Planning (ERP) and one on truck safety. The chair sat in on both for a while.

Several others spoke regarding such items as load marking slips, managing disabilities, and quality control. There was a lot of emphasis on safety. 17 – 18% of incidents have to do with personal

Minutes

protective equipment such as people not wearing vis-vests. 21% happen in danger zones. 10 – 11% happen in maintenance, 14 – 15% are slips, trips and sprains, and 20% are driving accidents. One of the accidents where someone was killed was in a parking lot.

Comment: I believe the abysmal safety record is connected to the poor economics of the industry. As in the truck safety discussion often economics and safety go hand in hand. It is a delicate topic to discuss because we are all taught that safety has no price, but I think it does.

Comment: Are they saying that they are driving logging trucks that are not safe to drive?

The chair said they are maintaining their equipment but they can't make any money. They can't afford to buy new equipment based on the rates for hauling logs.

Comment: It is different in the Interior. The rates and the cost of new equipment is in balance, but it isn't on the Coast.

Question: What is the difference between the Interior and the Coast?

Comment: I think there has been a lot more investment in the Interior. Their mills are modern and our coastal mills are old. Western is just spending money on their mills now for the first time in ten years. The mills are pretty antiquated. It is way cheaper in the Interior to harvest wood as well. Their terrain is not as difficult and the cost of building roads is much less. They also don't have all of the waterborne log movements and other costs the coast has. Its whole cost structure is different plus they have had a lot more investment.

The chair said that at the end of the conference Norm Facey was sounding quite optimistic about the improvement in the markets. He said one of the most profitable things that WFP is doing is cutting specialty wood for Japan and the other profitable item is poles.

UL-814 OGMA Amendment

Stuart showed the group a map that includes Lewis Lake, the three small ponds to the north and Useless Lake. The three ponds are between the two lakes and there are OGMA's around two of them. There is an OGMA established prevents road access to the area beyond. This OGMA is recruitment which means the trees are second growth. You can amend OGMA's but you have to replace them with the same as or better timber and the same amount of timber. WFP is planning to add to the OGMA's around the ponds as well as add to an OGMA on Goat 2 that provides for old-growth and then log a portion of the OGMA that is in the way.

2012 Logging Plan

Stuart also showed the group a map with areas to be logged in 2012.

Question: If the winter stays dry would the plan change?

It is always a temptation, but it could be the wrong decision. You want to stay up the hill as long as you can because there is a limited amount of winter wood down low. You hate to be down low when you could be working in the high areas but you could get caught. Even if winter comes now there may not

Minutes

be enough time for the snow pack to get too high so they may get to some spots sooner than they planned on.

Question: Nothing on Goat Island?

Nothing on Goat Island and they are not going to build road there either. They will build road in 2013 and log in 2014. Goat Island is nice to have to pair up with other smaller operations on the lake.

Question: What about Daniels?

Stuart said they will be building road up at Daniels next year to be logged the following year. They built road all of this summer and they will build road there next summer as well. They still have some more layout before the road building this summer.

Question: Are you planning on going back up to Diane Valley?

Yes. We have wood laid out, but it won't be this year.

Question: So the bridge will be out for a couple of years?

Yes.

Question: So the farthest point you are going is Goat 1 and 2.

Yes. On the Stillwater side it will be Goat 1 and on the Lake it will be Billy Goat for logging.

Question: There is not much coming out of Powell Lake.

We are in line with what we usually do.

Question: Any plans for heli-logging?

Yes. Walt and I have been working on that. We are trying to get it all lined up to work financially. We hope to lay out this summer.

Island Timberlands Meeting – Topic and Speaker Ideas

The group came up with the following idea for a guest speaker: the representative for the Private Managed Forest Audits to speak about their audits.

Meeting adjourned at 9:00 pm

Action List

Minutes

ongoing	<i>Who</i>	<i>Meetin</i>	<i>When</i>
ACTION #1 - Find out if the Ministry's radio pilot project is going to be extended to the rest of the province.	Mark Anderson	Jan 11/12	
ACTION #2 - Nave BCTS contact group and let them know if it is going to log Jeffered Creek.	Mark Anderson	Jan 11/12	

**Stillwater CSA Community Advisory Group
Western Forest Products
January 11th Attendance**

Name	Position	Member Seat
PRESENT		
Jane Cameron – Chair	Primary	Member at large
Wayne Brewer	Alternate	Tourism
Colin Palmer	Primary	Local Governments
Rory Maitland	Primary	Contractor
Paul Goodwin	Alternate	Forest Dependent
Barry Miller	Primary	Environment
George Illes	Alternate	Environment
Laura Braden	Alternate	Member at large
Doug Fuller	Primary	DFA Worker
Andy Payne	Alternate	Member at large
Read English	Alternate	Local Business
Dave Hodgins	Alternate	Recreation
8 Seats represented		
ABSENT MEMBERS		
Debbie Dee	Alternate	Local Governments
Ken Jackson	Primary	Recreation
Russ Parsons	Alternate	DFA Worker
Mark Hassett	Alternate	Contractor
Rob Stewart	Primary	Forest Dependent
Bill Maitland	Primary	Local Business
Nancy Hollmann	Primary	Tourism

Minutes

PRESENT		
Resource – others		
Mark Anderson	MoFLNRO	
Stuart Glen	WFP	
Valerie Thompson	Facilitator/Secretary	