

**THESE MINUTES ARE CONSIDERED CIRCULATED BUT HAVE NOT  
BEEN FORMALLY APPROVED AND ADOPTED BY CAG**

**Stillwater Timberlands Community Advisory Group**

**March 8, 2006**

**Minutes**

**Westview Pizza**

Attendance: refer to attached sheet

Quorum noted

6:06pm: Meeting called to order

**Welcome and Introductions**

Chair and Facilitator welcomed everyone.

Steve introduced guests-

1. Rene Buys – forestry student
2. Mark Hassett – 3-Leaf Contracting
3. Doug Fuller – small contractor and woodlot owner
4. Wayne Borgford – owner- Lois Lumber

Members introduced themselves around the table.

**Safety Review**

Safety rules were noted – also fire exits and first aid attendants in case of emergency.

**Code of Conduct**

Code of Conduct was posted and noted.

**Review and Acceptance of Agenda**

Agenda was reviewed and accepted with additions.

**Review of Minutes**

Minutes from February 8th were reviewed and accepted. No amendments. It was noted that members could review and amend minutes at any time if necessary.

**Correspondence**

1. Don Benn – VQO information
2. Letter to Cascadia from CAG re FSP
3. Letter to Bruce McKerricher BCTS
4. Letter from Island Timberlands – Eagle River
5. Copy of Letter to Island Timberlands from Friends of Eagle River
6. e-mail correspondence from Bill Waugh- Island Timberlands
7. Response from Paul Tataryn, Ministry of Tourism, Sport and the Arts– recreation officer to be based in Powell River
8. Questionnaire from Don Benn – Recreation Inventory

## **Action List**

Action list was reviewed. Some items have been completed and some are still ongoing.

## **Access**

Members noted that access to Diane Valley had been a concern – road was blocked on the weekend in summer. No other concerns at this time.

## **Operational Information Map Update**

### **Steve Chaplin**

Maps were posted and information sheets provided.

### ***Current Activities***

Harvesting – GI-098, FH-027A, TM-300, GL-014

Road Construction - GI-054; ST-331, WL-926A, ST-259

Engineering – LL-066, LL-067, PD-456, ST-340

### ***What's New on the Map***

New Blocks: – WL-937, ST-340, LL-067, LL-068

New Roads – SH-100

***Cutting Permit Approved Areas*** – HB-116, PD-246, PD-241, PD-453, ST-317, EL-669, GL-014, CH-538, ST-331, TM-300, ST-259, ST-262, ST-102

### ***Map Updates***

Logging Complete – None

Road Construction Complete – PD-453A

Engineered Blocks – ST-227, ST-324

Engineered Roads – None

*Question* – UL-801- Explain – Is the salvage of cedar experimental?

*Answer* – Trying experimental salvage of timber before first logging. When roads are built, prior falling is done.

*Question* – EL-669- Diane Valley – what % of retention for EL-668 and EL-669

*Answer* – Will get information on retention % for EL-668 and EL-669.

**ACTION** – Provide information on retention % for EL-668 and EL-669.

*Question* – LL-067- New cutblock near creek 4 goes near the Sunshine Coast Trail- what consideration is there for the trail?

*Answer* – Paul Kutz noted that they are aware of the trail.

*Question* – Frog Pond Lake – what buffer is there?

*Answer* – No cutblocks have been laid out yet.

## Company Updates

### Stephen Chaplin

1. EMS guide – new publication – has quiz for operators
2. Western Forest Products – no news yet. Competition Bureau has not yet ruled in favour of transaction. Implementation team ready-hope to see changeover by end of first quarter.

## 7:00pm - Meeting open to public

## Company Updates

### Paul Kutz

1. Informational e-mails from Don Benn – VQO's and visual landscape inventory. Don Benn will be available to come and talk to group again.
2. Updating of recreation inventory – Questionnaire provided -and also available on-line. Ad in the Peak newspaper. Members were asked to fill in questionnaire and send in.

*Question* – What will they do with information that is received?

*Answer* – Look at different areas and identify any new – campsites, trails Knuckleheads etc.

*Question* – Not just trails with legal orders? All of the recreation features in the TFL?

*Answer* – No - Everything to do with recreation - boating, hiking, motorized recreation.

*Question* – There is no questionnaire in the paper.

*Answer* – No questionnaire is not in the paper, just a request for information.

Facilitator asked members with a strong recreation interest at the table to take the time to make sure that Don is able to include all recreation values on the recreation inventory map.

*Question* – Does anyone know if there any overlap between the landscape inventory, recreation inventory and the potential impact that Plutonic Power – roads, right of way etc. may have on the landscape?

*Answer* – Walt noted that he asked Environmental Assessment Board same question - re-VQO's.

*Question* – Timeline for questionnaire?

*Answer* – Within the next month

Facilitator noted that when the recreation inventory is complete, Don will come back.

*Question* – In e-mail correspondence on VQO's are the percentages in that email the same as will be on the map? One of the concerns at public FSP open house was that there were no % shown on maps.

*Answer* – *Specific to Don Benn's e-mail* – the percentages do not relate to the FSP. Partial retention in new FSP is described as definition in FPPR 1.1. VQO's are measured according to definitions and subordinate to the landscape. Current guidelines and definitions are in FPPR section 1.1 and see also section 9.6 regulations. e.g. small block easy to see, some angular etc.

### **Welcome Observers**

Chair welcomed observers, apologized for the limited space available. Facilitator provided agendas for observers and asked them to approach the chair or facilitator if they wished to make a presentation in the “other business” portion of the meeting. Facilitator noted that this was as per the Terms of Reference. Fifteen minutes was noted as time available for questions.

### **Field Trip**

Chair noted that there is a field trip coming up in the spring. Suggestions so far for field trip are-

- Freda Creek
- Climber's camp
- Canoe Route Campsite

Steve suggested a good lunch stop would be walking down Goat Lake to new campsite (Cardiac hill)

Member suggested the Climber's camp and a stop to see Dianne Valley on the way back

Member suggested a short side trip after mile 4 Stillwater Main to look at the impact of Lang Bay aggregates on the Sunshine Coast Trail.

Member noted that audits were highly educational – gives some idea of what's going on. Hearing the Ministry of Forests' analysis was a good experience

*Question* – about % will future harvest plans be looked at in a subjective way- will there be ways it will be measured as it was before?

*Answer* – Not difficult to meet %'s most %'s are around 7% partial retention  
Rudi suggested a look at WL-909 it is a good block to look at the visuals on the canoe route.

Member suggested going up Tin Hat and showing how motorized recreation and hiking can exist together.

Facilitator noted that the field trip will take place in May. Members were asked to e-mail with any further suggestions.

## **Annual Silviculture Plan Map**

### **Rudi VanZwaaij- Cascadia Division Forester**

Steve introduced Rudi and noted that part of annual report includes Silviculture Plan.

Rudi noted that the land base was reduced last year Paul Kutz and Rudi have re-organized. Rudi looks after silviculture and Paul looks after the planning in the DFA. Rudi noted that the company is much smaller than it used to be (about 60%) due to the loss of area that have been taken back by government, Hotham Sound, Phillips Arm and private lands etc. It was noted that in the late 80's over a million seedlings were planted, this year a half a million will be planted.

Map was provided. Tree planters are in town now and planting blocks shown on the map. Rudi noted that March is the biggest month for planting. 420,000 will be planted now and another 70-80,000 in August.

Green blocks – planting seedling

Blue blocks – planting and brushing

Red blocks – brushing only

Tree planters are also removing some cages from cedars. Bad weather has been a problem - snow and storms have held up work.

**Elk** – It was noted that elk have done a lot of damage; Company has spent close to \$1 million on elk control. Ability to grow western red cedar has gone because of elk. Society decided to have elk so that is the trade-off. Government introduced elk to the area, but they have done a lot of damage. There was an agreement for some compensation from the Ministry of Environment, but to date, none has been received. Elk has impacted yields and future growing. There is a trial area at Stillwater mainline 7 (fenced). 19 elk were captured a month ago and transferred to Jervis Inlet.

*Question* – What's happening at Kent's Beach?

*Answer* – Trying to plant 70 hectares, but snowed in.

Areas that are being planted are – BT-632, CH-536, GI-023, GI-069, GI-652, HB-167, HB-170, O 402A, HO403, HO-403A, LC-006, LL-052A, OL-558, PD-203, PD-206, PD-454, LL-162A, ST-048, St-049, ST-149, ST-122, ST-226, ST-260, ST-290, TM-191, TM-224, UL-800, UL-806, WL005, WL-906, WL-909, WL-910, Rainbow, Goat Island, Heather and Powell Daniels

Company is current with planting. There are high brush hazards in the Powell River area. The sooner they are planted, the better. They are right behind the logging, and try to plant larger seedlings behind harvesting.

### **Root Disease**

In Spring 2004, a quarter of a million seedlings were found to have root diseases- they were all re-planted in 2005.

*Question* – Was the company compensated by the nursery that supplied the seedlings?

*Answer* – No.

### **Tree Planting**

*Question* – Do red areas show brushing?

*Answer* – Yes they will, brushed and managed to free growing.

*Question* – Does the company protect every planted tree with cages?

*Answer* – No we protect a little as possible due to the high cost.

*Question* – Are contractors for tree planting local?

*Answer* – Some workers are, but there are no local tree-planting contractors.

*Question* – What is the cost?

*Answer* – About \$1 per tree. Tree planters, helicopter costs, fertilizer costs, shipping etc all included.

### **2006 Silviculture Plan**

Brushing and weeding plan shows areas in which brushing and weeding is planned. Rudi noted that maybe not the entire block shown on the plan will be brushed, but only the brushy parts of each block.

#### *Brushing and weeding-*

342 hectares - girdling alder

186 hectares - manual brushing

45 hectares - removing cages

5 hectares - manual fireweed control

#### *Chemical-*

46 hectares - Woody vegetation – glyphosate (Vision)

190 hectares - Maple issues – using triclopyr (Release)

*Question* – How is the *Release* used? Do they use hack and squirt or aerial methods?

*Answer* – No, *Release* is used with backpack application. Low volume, small basal application is used on hardwoods, mainly maple coppices. It was noted that they try to girdle alder where possible, but also use *Release*.

Rudi noted that Kents Beach, Hotham Sound and the Khartoum area will be treated for maple. On drier rocky slopes such as Kents Beach, Hotham Sound and Khartoum there are a lot of maple. Treating maple coppices early reduces the amount of herbicide used.

*Question* – How is the *Release* used? Do they use hack and squirt or aerial methods?

*Answer* – No, *Release* is used with backpack application. Low volume, small basal application is used on hardwoods, mainly maple coppices. It was noted that they try to girdle alder where possible, but also use *Release*.

Rudi noted that Kents Beach, Hotham Sound and the Khartoum area will be treated for maple. On drier rocky slopes such as Kents Beach, Hotham Sound and Khartoum there are a lot of maple. Treating maple coppices early reduces the amount of herbicide used.

On ground foliar control – *Vision*- is used as a spot treatment or as a broadcast spray application.

Site is prepared prior to planting, salmonberry is treated and then they wait one year before planting.

*Question* – How much is spent on alternate methods?

*Answer* – There has been a lot of girdling of hardwoods; it is an environmentally friendly method. It is a requirement of the Ministry of Forests that the area is free growing. It usually takes two years for the hardwoods to die off. Not sure of the costs.

*Question* – Is it a Ministry of Forest's requirement?

*Answer* – We can't have any competition within a certain radius of crop trees and have to have a minimum number of crop trees free-growing.

Facilitator thanked Rudi and asked members to e-mail or phone Rudi if they have any further questions.

Facilitator reiterated that observers wishing to make a presentation in the “other business” portion of the meeting should approach her or the chair during the break. Facilitator noted that this was as per the Terms of Reference. Fifteen minutes was noted as time available for questions.

### **7:40 – 7:55 Break**

#### **Mark Hassett- 3-Leaf Contracting**

##### **Road Building**

Facilitator introduced Mark Hassett.

Mark noted that he has been in the road building business for thirty years. It was noted that years ago cats were used and everything (blasted rock etc) went over the bank. Today that is not allowed, rock goes back on the road.

Mark noted that years ago, there was a crew of 30 for 7 –8 months of the year and 15 for the rest of the year. Now there is a crew of 20 for 6 months of the year and 10-12 for the rest of the year.

*Question* – Is the road laid out by Cascadia engineers?

*Answer* – Yes, the road is walked with Cascadia engineer, myself and contract supervisor.

*Question* – Is there a bid on building roads?

*Answer* – There is a flat rate – a floating rate that depends on distance (materials, trucks needed, machines etc.)

*Question* – Do they crush the rock?

*Answer* – Depends where we are - we have a crusher and use it sometimes.

Member thanked contractor for allowing access on weekends when road building.

*Question* – In Dianne Valley, the gate was locked all summer- is this a requirement of the Forest Service?

*Answer*- Vandalism is a huge problem Last summer juveniles were caught with a hammer. In Block Bay fire extinguishers and equipment are targeted.

Member noted that vehicles were left in locked in Dianne valley with keys inside. Mark noted that the road crews lock their vehicles. Maybe the vehicles belong to the logging crew.

*Question* – Is there a dollar figure that is spent on fixing vandalism?

*Answer* – Last year \$2,000-\$2,500 on fire equipment. Kids out partying throw it over the banks.

*Question* – Why do they block off where they are building?

*Answer* – Dianne Lake a good area –in summer not bad but if wet, the material used- is like soft mushy clay, and if dry it sets like concrete. When soft, can't drive on it you will sink. When spring comes it won't be able to be graded. Road is blocked so people don't get stuck.

*Question* – Suggestion from the past – the signing out of keys- is it still an option?

*Answer* – That would be up to Walt/Cascadia. Steve noted that some people did come for keys.

*Question*- How much road do you build each year?

*Answer* – Company used to build 30plus km per year. Last year they built 18-19km. There may be 26 km planned for 2006.

Mark noted that he bought the equipment and company in 1995.

*Question* – Vandalism – is there a watch person on the weekends?

*Answer* – No because of the cost. Also, vandalism happens any night of the week, not just weekends.

Member noted that Wilderness Watch was started – has that had any impact?

Mark noted that the RCMP also makes several trips out there.

*Question* - Steve asked – Why are some of the roads so steep?

*Answer* – Ask the engineers! It depends on the terrain and distance between settings.

Walt noted that they try to keep 15% grade - sometimes they get to 20-22%. Mark noted that if you can get up the hill, there would be no problem getting down. They are built to accommodate logging trucks.

*Question* – When building roads, the trees that are cut- are they salvaged?

*Answer* – In most cases they leave the timber where a self-loader can grab them. They like to get them out before they get eaten.

*Best Story?*

River's Inlet-

Big rock cut – 80 ft - 2 shelves, in a slide area with steep terrain. Close to the last blast, it all slid down to the road below. Quiet a challenge!

Facilitator thanked Mark and noted that what Mark does impacts meetings and discussions on access. There are always questions on access and what's going on.

### **Observers' questions**

Facilitator noted that the group runs under the Code of Conduct that is posted on the wall.

### **Observer # 1**

The observer made comment on the potential restructuring of the CAG and the perceived lack of public input.

### **Observer #2**

Observer #2 commented briefly on the proposed Cascadia FSP, its format, possible legal review, CAG's response and a possible public meeting sponsored by CAG.

In response, the Chair noted that the public should bring comments to CAG members.

In response, the Facilitator noted that the group had had three meeting to discuss their response to Cascadia. Letter will be based on consensus. Chair noted that this letter will be CAG's response to Cascadia, with a copy to the Ministry of Forests, it will be published at the end of the month. Facilitator noted that the group has worked hard and has spoken to their constituents about values.

Response letter and reply from Cascadia will be made public at a later date.

Facilitator noted that members have been doing research, talking to people that represent their groups and bringing their values to the table. Facilitator noted that if the public were to bring comments and values to the community advisory group members, they would bring them to the table. It is not the advisory group's mandate to educate the public. Members would like to hear the values of the public. Response was not ever meant to be a public education, it was meant to be the advice from the advisory group.

Another member disagreed and noted the Terms of Reference mandate 1.2 – *provide public forum for dialogue relating to forestry issues in the local community.*

Member noted that the proposed FSP is an issue that is of great interest to the community and if following the Terms of Reference, the group should consider providing information to the public to help the public better understand what the issues are.

Facilitator pointed out that members discussed a public meeting at a previous meeting and consensus was that they not hold a public meeting, as they did not feel they had enough factual knowledge to pass on to the public. Facilitator noted that the advisory group's best advice to the public would be to talk to the creators of the document (Cascadia). It was noted that member would hold an informal information meeting at 9pm. for any member of the public that wished to attend.

Member encouraged the public to respond with input to Cascadia.

### **FSP – public review**

Steve noted that members of the public could come to the Cascadia office for more information on the FSP and to ask questions.

CAG members were introduced around the table

Facilitator noted that membership information and contact numbers are available on the website.

*Question* – Is there no environment seat?

*Answer* – Yes, there is an environment seat, but that member is not present tonight.

Members of public left.

### **8:30-8:40pm Break**

### **Thanks to Cascadia**

Member thanked Paul Kutz and Steve for participating in Career Fair at Brooks School.

### **Thanks to CAG members**

Steve thanked all members for the past six years and presented signed books “Off the Beaten Path”

### **Reviving the CSA process – membership**

### **Thanks to CAG members**

Steve thanked all members for the past six years and presented signed books “Off the Beaten Path”

### **Reviving the CSA process – membership**

Steve noted that the current CSA process was being revived. The company is looking at membership. Individual letters were provided to CAG members. Steve stated that some comments have been made to the company about the group being “unbalanced”- no strong voice for DFA workers. Some prospective new members have been invited as guests to CAG. Steve noted that CSA 2002 standards require representation from a broad range of the public. The standards also suggest it is useful to conduct an annual review on membership and that the company and community should agree on operating rules. There is a need to readjust, to build on existing and redefine seats. Letter notes that the company is looking at 10 seats as follows- Forest dependent, Local Business, First nations, DFA worker, Local Governments, Environment, Contractor, Tourism, Recreation and Member at Large. Member at large can represent a broad range of seats. Member at Large can represent education, citizens and is a catchall. In addition, there will also be a revolving observer seat for youth from the forestry program at Brooks High School. Graduation credits could be earned by sitting in on CAG forum. Forestry program runs for only half a year. Members and alternates were invited to send e-mails to Steve before March 17<sup>th</sup> to express an interest in applying for one of the ten seats described, as either a member or as an alternate.

Revived group will be effective 4th, 2006. After March 17<sup>th</sup>, if seats are still available they will look at a broader group of people. With this (present) group, Steve noted that he expects the seats to be filled.

-Member noted that more time was needed to consult with constituents and noted that the next monthly meeting with constituents would be in first week of April.

-Member noted that Access seat is absent from list.

-Steve noted that Access is viewed as a recreation seat and could be covered by recreation seat.

-Member asked about access for mushroom, salal pickers etc.

-Steve noted that he expects that the recreation seat will be able to address access concerns for mushroom and salal pickers etc. Group has to be balanced. The company is not trying to stifle access in any way.

-Member asked - where there is overlap, who will be making the decisions on new seats?

-Steve noted that the CSA Standard allows the company to select individuals and to personally invite them to participate. The company would review the selection initially, with an invitation to the current executive to review the draft membership list before being made final. Company will develop a selection matrix for selecting members for the revived CSA process.

-Member noted concerns that it seems to be a corporate process, not a public process

-Steve noted that company started CAG for the Pilot project and then CSA came along.

-A member disagreed and noted that the Pilot and CSA were concurrent processes.

-Steve noted that the process needs to be reviewed from time to time.

-Member asked whether group would appoint a new executive

-Steve noted that when revived group meets in April, they will be asked to re-elect an executive.

-Member noted that, in his opinion, citizens groups need more public input. Large groups are good but small groups are more productive, as long as values are represented. Cascadia operates in Block 1 – south of town.

-Steve noted that Cascadia no longer operates in the Wildwood area.

-Member noted that employees who work in the DFA live there.

-Steve noted that a 10-seat group is not a small group. There are some groups with only five or six members.

-Member expressed fear that someone who is totally dependent on the company for livelihood would feel uncomfortable expressing themselves on an advisory group.

-Steve noted that the standard asks for broad range of people including workers in the DFA.

-Member noted a certain amount of respect for Steve but noted that a corporate position has been stated. Company will select positions. Luxuries such as dinners can go by the wayside. Member would not participate in a forum decided by corporation/company. Community needs to decide who holds seats. It was noted that a member of the public suggested another public process.

-Members asked about Steve's use of the term "executive"

-Steve noted that it is a term he uses for the Chair, Vice Chair and second Vice-chair and is not in the Terms of Reference.

-New member noted the awareness of goodwill between the company and canoe and hiker groups. Corporate agenda to "invite the public to participate" may jeopardize this.

-Steve noted that reviving seats meant one recreation seat instead of three.

-Member, who represented motorized recreation in the beginning, noted that the group (motorized recreation) would not get fair representation in member's opinion. Group fought hard to get representation.

-Member asked how many in the community were involved or employed in forestry.

-Walt noted that there is a demographic shift but doesn't know the exact numbers.

-Member noted there is a period of change on Texada – there are new people who are moving here from different places, who have different interests and wondered how a new balance could or would be achieved.

-Steve noted that 400,000 cubic metres of Block One AAC provide work for about 300 contractors. Around \$6 million in stumpage was paid in 2005 for wood harvested from TFL39 Block 1.

-Member noted that when company chooses public seats, it is no longer public representation. There are other avenues for input into local forestry. Public could lobby the forest service agencies like the Ministry of Forests and Forest Practices Board as well as CSA which is one of the smallest agencies.

-Member noted that he remembered the CSA auditors stating that if CAG was unhappy with what company was doing they could “resign en masse if not happy”. Other avenues do exist if CAG is not happy with the proposed revision of the group. Ten representatives chosen by the company won’t work in member’s opinion.

-Member noted that he was extremely disappointed but not surprised at the decision to revive the group without any input from the present members. Members of the public who have decided to participate in the public process have represented their sectors well. Members, in his opinion, have done such a good job for six years that it has affected the way the company looks at them. The member stated that these comments were directed at Cascadia and were not personal attacks.

Member expressed his opinion that it will now be CAG according to corporate agenda; it is not a revised representation but a radical departure from what we had in the past. Reduced public input means stifling community representation. In member’s opinion, Terms of Reference will no longer be required. It is also the member’s opinion that if Cascadia decides who sits on the board, there will be less community representatives and the new group will be less representative of all the community values. For six years there has been labour peace, no stoppages and peace in the forest industry. The member believes that it will be much harder to communicate with the company and it will impact operations adversely to have less representation at the table. The member suggested that there are people in the community who will see that the optics are not very good. Member suggested that Steve could go back and discuss the issue with the bosses who initiated the process and consider asking them to reconsider the process. Member noted that he was disappointed and disturbed that the company has decided to take this direction.

-Another member noted that he would play devils advocate after spending all his life in the industry. Member does not like the way it is going specifically (the way the committee is doing its work) but it is easy to blame everything on corporate decisions. Member noted that CAG members were aware from day one that the Pilot project was an experimental thing that would run for five years, and

government may incorporate it into another plan, which would put the province head and shoulders above where it was at that time. The new FSP is not all that we want. Member stated that, in his opinion, if the group had spent 50% of the time looking for alternative solutions rather than being railroaded and overwhelmed by one or two peoples' opinion they would have been much more productive and perhaps not in the situation they were in today. It was also the member's opinion that it has been CAG's demise listening to "the sky is going to fall" if we don't stick up for the One Plan Project. The One Plan was a pilot project but some people at this table would not accept that. The member felt that when CAG entrenched itself in concrete with the attitude that nothing was going to change, CAG got itself into the situation that it's in. In the member's opinion CAG had the opportunity for anyone at the table to come up with an alternative discussion, but few took advantage of that opportunity. The member stated that he believed that CAG got itself locked into the valley that we couldn't see beyond.

The member stated that he felt CAG did a marvelous job of the Pilot project and should be proud. When public process meeting for the proposed FSP was held on Vancouver Island two or three people showed up, the plan was better than anything they what they had. Member noted that corporate decisions are made. Members have been aware for some time that the Pilot project will end. The member feels that when the new FSP goes into effect, the sky will not fall. Government has incorporated a lot of the pilot project into provincial legislation. The member stated he believed that CAG is where it is at today because of its dogmatic approach and by not allowing new, fresh ideas to come forward. The member stated it was his opinion that the group, as it stands, is too big. The member pointed out that in the beginning meetings were held once a month and at some point, because focus strayed away from sustainable forest management and the Pilot Project, CAG was meeting sometimes two or three times a month. In his opinion, a smaller focused group will be more productive.

-Another member noted that he also believed the group was too big. One seat - one voice, a smaller group will work.

-Member noted that they were not against a smaller group, but motorized recreation has to be represented. Recreation values are different from motorized recreation values. There is an imbalance at the table now and in the proposed structure of the revised group – there is lot more power in the hiking club and the Sierra Club compared to motorized recreation.

-Member suggested checking CSA standards for downsizing. What process will be used to inform the public of change?

-Steve noted that a letter speaking to the revised CSA process and seats will be posted on the CAG website.

-Member asked about the First Nations seat?

-Steve noted that CSA mandates that First Nations have a seat. Cascadia has tried to get First Nations to actively participate, but they will not participate until their treaty process is finished. The company will hold the seat open for First Nations. Although they are not sitting at the present time, Sliammon has indicated they will sit at the Advisory Group table one day.

-Member asked about “local governments” seat. Will present members have to draw straws?

-Steve noted that there could be an opportunity to have one primary, one alternate.

Recreation seat will also cover access

-Member noted that it had been a pleasure to work with the group and company for the past six years.

FSP meeting March 15<sup>th</sup>

**Meeting adjourned 9:40pm**

**Stillwater Timberlands Community Advisory Group  
March 8, 2006  
Attendance**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Position</b>	<b>Member Seat</b>
<b>PRESENT</b>		
<b>Jane Cameron – Chair</b>	Primary	Member at large
<b>Ken Jackson – Vice-Chair</b>	Primary	Recreation
<b>Dave Rees- 2<sup>nd</sup> Vice Chair</b>	Primary	Tourism
<b>Eagle Walz</b>	Primary	Recreation
<b>Monty Tyrwhitt-Drake</b>	Alternate	Recreation
<b>Michael Conway Brown</b>	Primary	Environment/Access
<b>Mark Forsyth</b>	Alternate	Environment/Access
<b>Patrick Brabazon</b>	Primary	Local Govt. (Regional)
<b>George Ferreira</b>	Alternate	Local Business
<b>Rory Maitland</b>	Primary	Contractors
<b>Bill Maitland</b>	Alternate	Contractors
<b>Kathy Kirk</b>	Primary	Education
<b>Jack McClinchey</b>	Primary	Motorized Recreation
<b>Debby Waslewski</b>	Primary	Citizens
<b>Dan Waslewski</b>	Alternate	Citizens
<b>Andy Davis</b>	Alternate	Citizens
<b>Ted Byng</b>	Primary	Municipal Government
<b>12 seats represented</b>		
<b>ABSENT</b>		
<b>Bernie Angel</b>	Alternate	Local Business
<b>Paul Holbrook</b>	Alternate	Forest Dependent
<b>Les Falk</b>	Alternate	Local Govt. (Regional)
<b>John Passek</b>	Alternate	Motorized Recreation
<b>Andrew Pinch</b>	Alternate	Tourism
<b>Paul Goodwin</b>	Primary	Forest Dependent
<b>Erika Hein</b>	Primary	Youth
<b>Don Krompocker</b>	Primary	Labour
<b>Nancy Hollmann</b>	Primary	Environment
<b>Joanne Cameron-Nordell</b>	Primary	Local Business
<b>PRESENT</b>		
<b>Resource – others</b>		
<b>Stephen Chaplin</b>	Cascadia Forest Products Ltd.	
<b>Paul Kutz</b>	Cascadia Forest Products Ltd.	
<b>Walt Cowlard</b>	Cascadia Forest Products Ltd.	
<b>Rudi Van Zwaaij</b>	Cascadia Forest Products Ltd.	
<b>Guests</b>		
<b>Mark Hassett</b>	3- Leaf Contracting	
<b>Rene Buys</b>	Forestry Student	
<b>Doug Fuller</b>	Forest dependent business owner	
<b>Wayne Borgfjord</b>	Forest dependent business owner	
<b>Members of the Public</b>		
<b>Cathy Bartfai</b>	Facilitator	
<b>Pam Dowding</b>	Secretary	